

**Tyrone Town Council
Meeting Minutes
August 16, 2018**

Present:

Mayor Eric Dial
Mayor Pro Tem Gloria Furr
Council Member Ken Matthews
Council Member Linda Howard
Council Member Ryan Housley
Town Manager Jonathan Lynn
Finance Manager Sandy Beach
Planning & Zoning Coordinator Phillip Trocquet
Recreation Manager Mitch Bowman
Town Clerk Dee Baker
Town Attorney Dennis Davenport

Approval of Agenda

Council Member Matthews made a motion to approve the agenda.
Council Member Housley seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Consent Agenda: *All matters listed under this item are considered to be routine by the Town Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will not be separate discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, that item will be removed from the consent agenda and will be considered separately.*

Approval of minutes, August 2, 2018, August 8, 2018 9:30 am, August 8, 2018 6:30 pm.

Consideration to approve Pyrotechnico agreement for Founders Day fireworks.

Mitch Bowman, Recreation Manager

Council Member Housley made a motion to approve the consent agenda.
Council Member Howard seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Public Hearing:

1. Consideration to approve a petition from applicant Brent Brownlee for the rezoning of a 4.3 acre tract with parcel number 0727 018 at property address 926 Tyrone Road. The proposed rezoning will be from R-12 (Residential 1200 square feet minimum) to AR (Agricultural Residential). *Phillip Trocquet, Planning & Zoning Coordinator.*

Mr. Trocquet informed Council that the item before them was a rezoning application from Brent Bownlee to rezone 4.3 acres, parcel number 0727 018, 926, Tyrone Road, from R-12 to AR.

Mayor Dial opened the public hearing for anyone that wished to speak in favor of the item. No one spoke.

Mayor Dial opened the public hearing for anyone that wished to speak in opposition of the item.

Mr. Arron Rynders, a resident on Julie Road spoke in opposition. He stated that the main issue would be traffic. It is already tough enough to get in and out of Julie Road from the Briarhill subdivision onto Tyrone Road, this would cause more traffic.

Ms. Sharlene Alexander, a Briarhill subdivision resident on Anthony Drive also spoke. She stated that she had been a Tyrone resident for twenty-eight years and was a realtor for eighteen years. She added that she was responsible for the rezoning and ingress/egress of Governors Square on Highway 54. She shared that she lived less than .3 miles from the rezoning. Why should the zoning be changed, the property owner owns the adjacent property and has access to Tyrone Road next to O'Reilly's Auto Parts? It seems that the only reason they are asking for a rezoning is because they want an ingress/egress off Tyrone Road and they need the same zoning. If the property owner cannot receive access onto Highway 74, they will need to access Tyrone or Farr Road. Both are major residential thoroughfares; she strongly suggested working with the state in order to obtain a curb cut onto Highway 74.

Mr. Wayne Slaton, who lives on Tyrone Road spoke next. He stated that evidently it does not matter what he said because at the last meeting everything was on hold. Now they are surveying for a road on that property. He ended by stating that the road was too busy and it would be extremely dangerous if another road would empty onto Tyrone Road.

Mr. Christopher Slayton stated that he still had the same concerns as previously mentioned at an earlier meeting. He felt the property owner was surveying for concerns of property boundaries. The boundary pins have been moved and he could not move forward until they began to build.

He thanked Council for shelving the item for one month so he could seek legal representation. He added that the rezoning does not bother him as much as the building and planning ahead.

Ms. Stephanie Burnham, who lives on Julie Road in the Briarhill subdivision, spoke. She shared her concerns regarding the ingress/egress on the property and how the traffic would negatively affect property values. The traffic light at Tyrone Road and Highway 74 already backs up past the property in question. She stated that adding more traffic to Tyrone Road would be a bad idea.

Ms. Joy Belyeu, who lives on Brookfield Court, spoke in opposition. She stated that her three concerns were safety, noise and traffic; even if you do not live in the Town. She added that the hill on Tyrone Road would be hazardous with additional traffic. She informed Council that she had attended each meeting with the Church leaders and it was mentioned that their parishioners total 450, in addition to a school. We were told that there would be a curb-cut off of Highway 74. She stated that at the last Council meeting she requested a traffic study and asked if it had been researched. She also asked why the zoning was being changed when all surrounding houses were zoned residential. If the property remained residential would there still be a potential curb-cut onto Tyrone Road. She also asked if the tax base for residential zoning was less expensive. She informed Council that “rumor” stated that the Council’s hands were tied because the property was owned by a church. Is it a done deal? Look into separation of Church and State.

Ms. Kate Kositzky, who lives on Whitney Court, spoke next. She stated that she would like to echo others views regarding traffic concerns. What does the Town have planned for the additional traffic burden?

Ms. Mary Williams, who lives on Brookfield Court stated that she had attended all of the church meetings as well and has the same traffic and safety concerns, along with noise. She asked why the property needed to be rezoned? She also reminded Council that she too requested a traffic study and asked for the results.

Ms. Catherine Morton, who lives on Julie Road in the Briarhill subdivision, spoke next. She informed Council that she had been a resident for eight years and liked the small town community and the lack of billboards and traffic. She added that she had noticed more traffic along Tyrone Road lately which made it more difficult to get to work. The turn lane did not help. Sometimes she liked to walk to the park which was difficult without sidewalks. Additional traffic would make the area less safe and more of a challenge.

Ms. Judy Jones, who lives on Branch Bend, spoke next. She stated that a year ago at the Church meeting the developers assured residents that they were obtaining a curb-cut off of Highway 74.

She added that she walks children on Farr Road and crosses over to Tyrone Road and does not have a problem with the church. Tyrone Road and Farr Road cannot handle additional traffic that the church would bring. The church also told them at the meeting that they could do whatever they wanted and that the Town had no say so. She shared her concerns with traffic and safety and stated that traffic on Tyrone Road already backs up to Farr Road due to the light. If you add church services, that would be horrendous. It was not possible.

Mr. Kevin McCormick, who lives on Brookfield Court, spoke next in opposition. He stated that he worked as a general contractor and the traffic was horrendous. It takes four light rotations in the evening to cross over Highway 74 on Tyrone Road. He lives one-tenth of a mile from the light. This evening the traffic was backed up to Farr Road. The church already has two opportunities to enter the property, both viable. It would still cause more traffic, but there cannot be another entrance onto Tyrone Road at the hill. He gave an example of folks driving too fast on Tyrone Road, not allowing him to exit his subdivision safely. He does not see any point in additional access onto Tyrone Road. The church needs to continue working with DOT.

Mayor Dial relayed that Mr. Trocquet would answer the speakers questions on a staff level prior to Mr. Brownlee's response.

Mr. Trocquet announced that the purpose of the rezoning was not to approve the construction of a new church. The reason for Mr. Brownlee's rezoning application was to match the zoning of the surrounding properties.

In regards to the application for the Highway 74 curb-cut, GDOT controls that aspect. If the curb-cut is denied by GDOT, then the Town's hands are tied.

In regards to access onto Tyrone Road; the church property does touch Tyrone Road and Farr Road.

In regards to a traffic study; at this time the Town has not seen enough plans from the church to move forward with a traffic study. They are still within their development stage. Perhaps in the future we can request peak times and impact.

In regards to why the change in zoning, this a question better asked of the applicant. The rezoning application is for the current property now zoned R-12, which is a residential zoning to be rezoned to AR, this is another residential zoning. The property is not being rezoned to commercial and he added that churches were allowed in residential zonings. They need to follow certain conditions, setbacks and buffer requirements. The size of the property is approximately one hundred acres and should be able to meet those requirements.

In regards to the question of churches being tax exempt, whether the property is residential or commercial this does not have any effect on the rezoning.

Mr. Trocquet added that in regards to traffic impact and property values he could not speak on those topics at this point, there was not enough information this early on.

In regards to an approval of a curb-cut onto Tyrone Road, the property owner has rights to have a curb-cut on Tyrone Road or Farr Road. During the planning process of new construction, staff does consider traffic impact. Although the applicant has a right to curb-cuts on both roads, at that point of the process a traffic study may be considered.

Mayor Dial addressed the rezoning application. He stated that the applicant was asking for consistent zoning with the surrounding properties. To the north of the property the zoning is OI (office institutional), south is AR (Agricultural Residential), to the east is AR and R-12 (residential) and to the west is AR zoning. The applicant is requesting AR zoning.

Rezoning applicant Mr. Brownlee, a resident of Peachtree City and a member of Bethel Church approached Council to answer questions. He stated that in regards to why rezone the property; we wished to sell the property to Bethel Church to be consistent with the surrounding property's zoning.

Council Member Howard stated that it was said that Bethel Church was confident that they had a curb-cut onto Highway 74, what happened?

Mr. Brownlee stated that he was not a staff member of the church, only an attendee and deferred the question to Mr. Steven Hale.

Mr. Steven Hale, a resident on Sandy Creek Road and the Senior Pastor at Bethel Church stated that in regards to the curb-cut on Highway 74; their Civil Engineer and everyone they spoke with told the church that they met all guidelines for a curb-cut. We did spend a lot of money to proceed under that advice and shared that information with the nearby residents. We did not lie to anyone. At the eleventh hour, the local GDOT approved it but the Atlanta GDOT considered that section to be some type of thoroughfare connected to Atlanta and declined the application. It was a big disappointment, we spent a lot of money and we did not mislead anyone. He added that if need be, his Engineer could provide any information regarding the matter.

Council Member Furr asked Mr. Brownlee if he planned on building a house or road going through the property. He added that there would be an access to the horse farm but no house. Council Member Furr asked about the large trees on the property. Mr. Brownlee stated that their goal was to keep as many as they could. Mr. Trocquet stated that Mr. Konwick would be the best person to contact regarding the specimen trees on the property; the Town's ordinance protects them. Council Member Furr asked if the current house would be torn down. Mr. Brownlee alluded to the fact that their architect loved the roofline of the existing property and barn. It would be a great place to hold weddings. Maybe they would renovate the current property, but at that time he did not know the answer.

Council Member Furr informed Mr. Brownlee that if the property was removed, asbestos regulations would need to be upheld. Mr. Brownlee agreed. Council Member Furr stated that there was a curb-cut already on the property, why was there a need for another.

Mr. Trocquet mentioned that it would be an improvement of the current drive for emergency vehicles and additional cars but the church could better answer regarding their plans. Council Member Furr echoed that with 450 members, there would be a lot of additional traffic on an already dangerous road.

Discussion took place regarding an easement and the road adjacent to O'Reilly Auto Parts.

Mr. Hale informed Council that there were two access roads onto Farr Road and an easement onto Tyrone Road, we want to spread the traffic the best we can. We cannot access from the O'Reilly's road due to the creek bed. Sunday mornings is a low traffic time. We would like to place the access road at the crest of the hill, which is the safest place and we would preserve the trees. He offered another solution; to direct traffic onto either Tyrone Road or Farr Road only exiting in one direction. We have been thinking about the traffic. We are not a mega church and we have a lot of land. We are not trying to impact the community; we are trying to bless the community. We have a decade long history in blessing the community; we do not want to be bad neighbors. There are thirty places that we can place the church on one hundred acres. We want to stay away from subdivisions and to be as less impactful as we can. If our church grows rapidly, we are committed to have a curb-cut onto Highway 74 but the cost came back doubled, we would need to raise more money.

Someone from the audience asked, what would happen if they did not receive the curb-cut? Mr. Hale stated that they would then pursue building another entrance onto Farr Road where there was access; however, this does not solve the problem of spreading out the traffic issue. Council Member Howard inquired about approaching GDOT again. Mr. Hale stated that at the last minute it was discovered that the previous owner had waived their rights for Highway 74 access which did not show up in our closing; it is not available.

Mr. Lynn explained that along Highway 74, which is GDOT's right of way, were limited access breaks. One needs to pay GDOT for access onto their property, which could cost hundreds of thousands of dollars. Council Member Howard asked that with the rezoning, would that give the church more area for better access? Mr. Hale stated the existing road was not bad but the rezoning would give them more options for better, safer and additional access. Council Member Howard inquired about church service times. Mr. Hale stated that Sunday church service was held at 10:00 am. She then asked what buildings were currently on the property. Mr. Hale shared that there was a service barn, a lodge, a lake and a five car garage with a two bedroom apartment above; which was now used as office space.

Mayor Dial recapped that some citizens were concerned with a church placing too heavy of a burden on traffic and some were concerned with the ingress/egress. The church will be placed there, that is not Council's decision to make. We are making the decision tonight for rezoning, not curb-cuts.

Mayor Dial shared with everyone that the Tyrone Road and Highway 74 turn lane was intended to alleviate a lot of the traffic problems. The biggest issue is that GDOT took away the acceleration lane heading north. Council had appealed in order to have that reinstated. Although it does not solve the problem crossing Highway 74, it does elevate some of the pressure. The other issue was that the right turn lane only holds two cars; there was an appeal for that issue as well. Council Member Howard added that citizens could always turn down Handley Road and enter onto Highway 74 via Senoia Road or Carriage Oaks Drive. Mr. Lynn announced that earmarked for a couple of years down the road within the SPLOST fund, were monies to revamp the very north end of Senoia Road and to perhaps open the cul-de-sac as an entry ramp onto Highway 74.

Mayor Dial asked Mr. Hale if there were other peak times for traffic from his church. Mr. Hale informed Council that they were planning an adult school to meet on Monday and Tuesday nights that accommodated approximately one hundred attendees. Mr. Hale added that they may also hold a Wednesday night service that would hold approximately one to two hundred people.

Ms. Alexander spoke and asked Council if the rezoning was not granted, can they build an access road on the property they own next door. She stated that it was her understanding that the applicant must rezone in order to build an access road. She also believed that the reason why the applicant would not reapply for the Highway 74 access was that; it was not a question of whether you could obtain the curb-cut, it was the cost. She added that she believed that as a tax payer the applicant should spend the money rather than increase the safety issues of the citizens. Mayor Dial gave an example of several municipal leaders and State Representatives involved in the attempt for another limited access right-of-way; they met with GDOT with no success.

Mr. Trocquet stated that it would be easier to build on the property as an AR zoning. If the property remained R-12, they could still move forward; however it would be more difficult meeting setback and parking requirements.

Mayor Dial asked Mr. Hale if GDOT stated that they would issue the curb-cut but it would cost. Mr. Hale stated that the conversations never reached an approval just that several large amounts were mentioned.

Mr. Rynders mentioned that this was not a personal attack on the church; it was about traffic and safety.

He shared a story of when he was a child he used to walk down Tyrone Road with no sidewalks to play basketball at the Baptist church. Today there are kids playing with no sidewalks, Sunday church lets out and there would be more traffic. It is a disaster waiting to happen.

Mayor Dial stated that he understood the concerns regarding no sidewalks and traffic. He asked that everyone stay on track and asked if anyone had a question regarding the aforementioned conversations.

Mr. Christopher Slaton reiterated what was mentioned regarding that churches do not matter because they are exempt. He stated that when you send out members of your congregation to buy small slivers of land that over time equals over one hundred acres; where does the burden fall? It comes back to the surrounding land owners. Taxes are then subsidized. It is not free.

Council Member Howard stated that the church already had property, there was going to be a road eventually. If Council approved the rezoning, the road could be placed in a better location instead of the bottom of the hill. Mr. Hale stated that was their sentiment; it would be the safest location at the crest of the hill. Council Member Furr asked Chief Perkins his opinion on the matter regarding traffic. He stated that he did not think it was prudent to place another road onto Tyrone Road. A resident's driveway would be ok but not something of this magnitude. He gave the example of a new church that was built in Coweta County; they need a Deputy every Sunday morning to direct traffic due to the volume. He added that the volume may not be that extensive in the beginning but that Tyrone was not staffed enough to direct traffic every Sunday morning. Chief Perkins stated that the Church also gave mention to an adult school Monday and Tuesday nights of one to two hundred people, that is a lot of cars. We cannot stop the church but we can take steps to ensure they use an existing cut-through. He added that he would be more comfortable with the already existing drive onto Farr Road; it would detour traffic off the main thoroughfare.

He ended by stating that he would be extremely uncomfortable with a new road being placed at the crest and especially at the bottom of the hill on Tyrone Road; it needed to be on Farr Road.

Council Member Matthews stated that he lived off Tyrone Road and was previously a resident in the Briarhill subdivision, traffic was scary at times; he considered safety as well. He suggested ingress instead of an egress on Tyrone Road.

Council Member Housley relayed that he sympathized with the citizens and the Chief's voice carried a lot of weight and value. He added that Council had to make decisions based on laws.

Council Member Housley made a motion to approve the rezoning. Motion dies for a lack of a second.

Council Member Matthews made a motion to deny the request for rezoning 4.3 acres, parcel number 0727 018 located at 926 Tyrone Road.

Council Member Furr seconded the motion. Motion was approved 3-1 with Council Member Housley in opposition.

Old Business:

2. Adoption of the millage rate of the Town of Tyrone for fiscal year 2018-2019.

Jonathan Lynn, Town Manager

Mr. Lynn stated that the Town was proposing to maintain the same millage rate of 2.889 mills, which had been maintained over ten years. Following state law, the Town advertised the public hearings as a property tax increase although the millage rate remained the same. This would essentially raise taxes by 3.33%. A Tyrone home valued at \$300,000 would result in an approximate increase of \$4.60 in 2018. A non-homestead property in the Town valued at \$300,000 would result in an approximate tax increase of \$4.68. He ended by stating that staff recommended adoption of the 2.889 millage rate for Fiscal Year 2018-2019. Mayor Dial stated that the Town held multiple public hearings for the item.

Council Member Furr made a motion to adopt the millage rate at 2.889 for Fiscal Year 2018-2019.

Council Member Matthews seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

New Business:

3. Consideration to approve the Empowering Soldiers Event at Shamrock Park.

Mitch Bowman, Recreation Manager

Mr. Bowman stated that he had received a request from Sargent First Class, Rueben Thomas from the US Army Recruiting Center in Peachtree City. He requested the use of Shamrock Park and the Pavilion for an Empowering Future Soldiers Event on September 8th from 10 am – 2 pm. The event would include fitness tests, Flag Football, food and other activities geared toward future soldiers and their families. There would be approximately 150 people at the event. Mr. Bowman added that there would be no cost for the event and there was no other event scheduled for Shamrock Park for that date. Mr. Bowman recommended approval.

Council Member Matthews made a motion to approve the Empowering Soldiers Event at Shamrock Park on September 8, 2018.

Council Member Housley seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Mayor Dial thanked Mr. Bowman for a job well done and that he had received two compliments regarding Mr. Bowman that week.

4. Consideration to approve a firm to provide the Town with a Sewer System Emergency Response Plan as mandated by the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District.

Jonathan Lynn, Town Manager

Mr. Lynn stated that Tyrone was a member of the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District. As members, the Town is required to have an Emergency Response Plan in place in the event of a sewer system overflow or other emergency conditions pertaining to our sewer system. The plan creates standard operating procedures for unforeseen emergencies pertaining to our sewer system. Currently, the Town does not have a plan in place. Upon receiving three quotes, the low bid of \$5,700 from Integrated Science and Engineering (ISE) was recommended. He added that ISE had previously mapped our sewer system. Mr. Lynn relayed that the amount would come from the Town's sewer fund.

Council Member Housley made a motion to authorize Mayor Dial to enter into an agreement with Integrated Science and Engineering in the amount of \$5,700 to develop a Sewer System Response Plan for the Town of Tyrone.

Council Member Furr seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

5. Consideration to enter into an agreement with a firm to provide professional programming, planning, and architectural services for the purposes of a new municipal complex.

Jonathan Lynn, Town Manager

Mr. Lynn shared his enthusiasm regarding the item. He added that in regards to the property the Town purchased in March. He stated that Council directed he and Chief Perkins to locate property within the Town for the possibility of a new Municipal Complex; which would include all departments with the exception of the library. Property was located on the eastern side of Handley Road along the southern boundary of the entrance to Handley Park and Council agreed to purchase the property. In the interim staff reached out for proposals from three firms which provided pricing for site planning, pre-design/programming and design services for post construction. He added that it was a new process for staff and they gained knowledge regarding the process on how to get started. After reviewing all proposals Goodwyn Mills Cawood (GMC) was the lowest bid at \$249,000. The first phase would cost \$10,000 for site master planning and pre-design/programming for the new complex. The first phase would also include a meeting with Council and staff and look at the site to determine the needs regarding space and office layout. The firm would then come back with site renderings for the look of the building, cost and a timetable.

The process would then look to the community for their input on aesthetics and design. The Town has \$35,000 budgeted for a site planning study so additional funding would be available for incidental costs that may arise during the planning portion of the project. The remaining \$239,000 would be dedicated to costs associated with construction of the new complex. He added that the Town could choose to finance through a bond process or private equity. The \$239,000 would be used for construction administration, designing of the plans, engineering and architecture. This would not affect our General Fund at this time. We would be under budget for the first planning phase. He ended by stating that staff recommended utilizing Goodwyn Mill Cawood to provide architectural and master programming services.

Council Member Furr asked for the difference between using GMC as opposed to hiring a developer to take direction on the needs and desires of Council and staff to move forward. Mr. Lynn explained that essentially that was what the plan involved. The first phase, we inform the firm of our needs. Then the chosen company would act as a building administrator; they would then hire a developer for construction. Mr. Lynn shared that staff reached out to other municipalities that used the same process. The company would build what we wanted, hire all contractors, manage the project and keep the project within budget. The discussion continued. Council Member Housley inquired about the difference in cost with hiring our own architect, engineer and contractors. Mr. Lynn stated that it should be the same. Mayor Dial stated that he may have a vision but did not wish to make all decisions and did not want staff to be burdened with the task. Mayor Dial added that this was what the firm specializing in; they would be the adult in the room. Council Member Housley stated that there could be benefits to hiring a third party. Chief Perkins stated that these companies specialize in government applications. They know what elements go into a modern police station and city hall and they would advocate for us. He added that the entire process was simplified with these types of companies that specialize in government building. Mr. Lynn stated that these firms were hired for management and are held to our budget. If the Town hired a contractor the Town would be responsible for any overages.

Mayor Dial asked Chief Perkins for examples of what a progressive police department may need. Chief Perkins stated that he may know what he wanted in a new police department and gym facility for training; however a firm would know what he needs. Chief Perkins gave the example of firms such as this that have the ability to take what was a once a 200 square foot evidence room and now is utilized as 150 feet square feet just from storage designs alone. He also added that this firm would design a secure building with specific entry-ways; this was their specialty. Mr. Lynn stated that they would also position staff members toward the front of the building that deal with the public on a regular basis. Mr. Lynn mentioned that the firm could also design an environmentally friendly building, which could save the Town money in the future.

Council Member Housley made a motion to utilize Goodwyn Mills Cawood (GMC) to provide architectural and master programming services to the Town of Tyrone for the purpose of a new municipal complex within the Town of Tyrone.

Council Member Howard seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

6. Consideration to change the Employee Vacation Carry-Over Date.

Sandy Beach, Finance Manager

Ms. Beach stated that a few months ago employees were asked to give their suggestions for a happier workplace and results were in. Besides hiring salaries, they asked that the vacation carry-over date be changed. In 2013, Council voted to approve a ten day employee vacation carry-over rule on July 1, 2013. Since that date employees are no longer allowed to carry over any more than ten days of vacation on July 1st each year. Unfortunately, family vacations begin around this time. Employees are requesting the ten day rule go into effect on January 1st each year instead of July 1st. Ms. Beach requested this begin on January 1, 2020.

Council Member Howard made a motion to amend the employee handbook vacation policy carry-over date to read January 1st each year instead of July 1st each year.

Council Member Matthews seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Public Comments

Ms. Sharlene Alexander spoke again and stated that since she was just made privy to a new municipal complex; she requested a new speaker system for the Council Chambers to better hear everyone, especially from the back.

Staff Comment:

Mr. Lynn announced that Atlanta Paving and Concrete was willing to donate the paved milling remains from the Brairwood Road project to be placed on Trickum Creek Road. At this point, the Town was just over \$50,000 under budget for paving projects. This would greatly improve the Town's section of the road without paving it. Atlanta Paving would also add concrete to the sides of the roads to stabilize the ditches for improvement. This would alleviate much of the stormwater run-off issue. He added that in regards to Ms. Redwood; who spoke at our last two meetings, she was very receptive to the improvement. Frontier Electric would also replace her pipe with a thirty-foot pipe at a cost to keep within budget. Briarwood would be paved the week of Labor Day, with Trickum Creek improvements to follow. Mayor Dial confirmed that the action would greatly impact the Town's section of Trickum Creek Road without paving it.

He also stated that a few folks do not want Trickum Creek paved; it would not be paved; only stabilized.

Council Member Furr asked how much the Town spends on Trickum Creek Road in one year. Mr. Lynn stated approximately \$10,000 plus additional costs every time it rains. Mayor Dial invited former Public Works Supervisor, Billy Campbell to shed more light on the condition of Trickum Creek Road. Mr. Campbell stated that this improvement would greatly impact the four residents within the Town limits on Trickum Creek Road. He added that they also may feel that once the Town makes the improvements, Trickum Creek would become more of a cut-through from Fayetteville than it was currently. He agreed that something had to be done.

Mayor Dial stated that their main concern were the Tyrone residents on the road. Council Member Furr added that this would definitely assist the school bus drivers and their safety. Mr. Campbell stated that the main issue was the water run-off from the Southampton subdivision.

Council Member Howard made a motion to allow Atlanta Paving and Concrete to lay milling remains to the Town's section of Trickum Creek Road and to add concrete to the sides of the road for ditch improvement in the amount of \$45,550.

Council Member Furr seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Mr. Lynn announced that Wrestling would take place at the Recreation Center tomorrow night at 7:30 pm.

Executive Session

Council Member Matthews made a motion to move into Executive Session for one (1) item of real estate acquisition.

Council Member Furr seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Council Member Housley made a motion to reconvene.

Council Member Furr seconded the motion. Motion was approved 4-0.

Adjournment

Council Member Furr made a motion to adjourn. Motion was approved 4-0.
The meeting adjourned at 8:52 pm.

Eric Dial, Mayor

Dee Baker, Town Clerk